

July 6, 2003

Dear Ray:

Imagine my pleasant surprise to find our impromptu debate on Lawrence V Texas in the perspectives section but also an article in the local section confirming exactly what I had been saying all along.

So, it turns out folks can be arrested for CERTAIN TYPES OF deviant sexual behavior (anal and oral sex) while others are not subject to such scrutiny. It turns out that homosexuals will continue to be treated as pariahs of society because the behavior THEY engage in is perverse and unacceptable by the majority. The CAN laws will continue to be used to prosecute those who attempt to engage in such perverse behavior if there is a public link. These are good things.

While I may not be a "much of an amateur attorney" I obviously have a legal leg up on you (even without the law degree) since the Police agree with me (if Christina Breen's article is any indication).

Understand, I am not the least concerned about your "private lives" or who you might be doing (with some other consenting adult) but am very interested in making sure that the predatory perverse behavior of homosexuals is not endorsed and that the law which is designed to condemn anal and oral sex activity is used to contain moral order (mostly against homosexuals who use this kind of activity). Keeping the laws enforced will insure that homosexuals will have a tough road to adopt children, make it harder for them to be foster parents, and will provide societal moral influence over the children of society which homosexuals so often prey on.

I understand that "you all" would like to have the law say that you can do whatever you want or that you would like to have all sexual solicitations under one banner, so that the stigma is removed. The stigma is there for a reason Ray. It is there to say that what you do is perverse and unhealthy and immoral. I don't know of any law that requires that society must "condone" what you do or put it some "neutral order" you might find less offensive. The important issue is that your considered legal position is shown to be "nonsense" to those who understand that perversity is not diversity and understand the law. Just because the US Supreme Court erred in saying that perversity is allowed in private doesn't mean that society here in Mecklenburg has to approve of it, endorse it, or accept it. You know this to be true but are to emotionally involved to see it.

Leave the lawyering to us that are elected to office and have a responsibility to oversee the police function in Mecklenburg County.

Regards,

Bill

Commissioner Bill James
Matthews, NC 28105
Wjames@carolina.rr.com
<http://billjames.org>